

ON THE CACHAZO-DOUGLAS-SEIBERG-WITTEN CONJECTURE FOR SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

PAVEL ETINGOF AND VICTOR KAC

ABSTRACT. Recently, motivated by supersymmetric gauge theory, Cachazo, Douglas, Seiberg, and Witten proposed a conjecture about finite dimensional simple Lie algebras, and checked it in the classical cases. We prove the conjecture for type G_2 , and also verify a consequence of the conjecture in the general case.

1. THE CDSW CONJECTURE

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. We fix an invariant form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and do not distinguish $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Let g be the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the associative algebra $R = \wedge(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})$. This algebra is naturally $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -bigraded (with the two copies of $\mathfrak{g}$ sitting in degrees $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$, respectively). The degree $(2,0)$, $(1,1)$, and $(0,2)$ components of R are $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, respectively, hence each of them canonically contains a copy of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let I be the ideal in R generated by these three copies of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $A = R/I$.

The associative algebra A may be interpreted as follows. Let $\Pi\mathfrak{g}$ denote $\mathfrak{g}$ regarded as an odd vector space. Then R may be thought of as the algebra of regular functions on $\Pi\mathfrak{g} \times \Pi\mathfrak{g}$. We have the supercommutator map $\{, \} : \Pi\mathfrak{g} \times \Pi\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by the formula $\{X, Y\} = XY + YX$, where the products are taken in the universal enveloping algebra (this is a morphism of supermanifolds). The ideal I in R is then defined by the relations $\{X, X\} = 0$, $\{X, Y\} = 0$, $\{Y, Y\} = 0$, so A is the algebra of functions on the superscheme defined by these equations.

In [CDSW,W], the following conjecture is proposed, and proved for classical $\mathfrak{g}$:

Conjecture 1.1. (i) The algebra $A^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants in the algebra A is generated by the unique invariant element S of A of degree $(1,1)$ (namely, $S = \text{Tr}|_V(XY)$, where V is a non-trivial irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{g}$).

(ii) $S^g = 0$.

(iii) $S^{g-1} \neq 0$.

Here we prove the conjecture for $\mathfrak{g}$ of type G_2 . We believe that the method of the proof should be relevant in the general case.

We also prove in general the following result, which is a consequence of the conjecture.

Proposition 1.2. *Any homogeneous element of $A^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is of degree (d, d) for some d ; therefore, the algebra $A^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is purely even, and the natural action of $sl(2)$ on it (by linear transformations of X, Y) is trivial.*

Remark. Conjecture 1.1 has the following cohomological interpretation. Let $\mathfrak{g}[x, y]$ denote the Lie algebra of polynomials of x, y with values in $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the algebra of relative cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g}[x, y], \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$. It is graded by the cohomological

degree D and the x, y -degree d . It is clear that for any nonzero cochain, one has $D \leq d$. Thus the homogeneous elements of the algebra $H^*(\mathfrak{g}[x, y], \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ for which $D = d$ form a subalgebra E . Conjecture 1.1 then states that E is generated by an element S of degree 2 with the defining relation $S^g = 0$.

Note that in this formulation, one can obviously replace $\mathfrak{g}[x, y]$ with its quotient by any ideal spanned by homogeneous elements of x, y -degree $d \geq 3$.

2. PROOF

The main idea of the proof is to consider first the algebra B of functions on the superscheme of $X \in \Pi\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\{X, X\} = 0$. This algebra was intensively studied by Kostant, Peterson, and others (see [K]) and is rather well understood. In particular, it is known that as a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, B is a direct sum of $2^{\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})}$ non-isomorphic simple $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules $V_{\mathfrak{a}}$ parametrized by abelian ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ in a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Namely, if $\mathfrak{a}$ is such an ideal of dimension d then it defines (by taking its top exterior power) a nonzero vector $v_{\mathfrak{a}}$ in $\wedge^d \mathfrak{g}$ (defined up to scaling). This vector generates an irreducible submodule in $\wedge^d \mathfrak{g}$ (with highest weight vector $v_{\mathfrak{a}}$), and the sum of these submodules is a complement to the kernel of the projection $\wedge \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow B$. In fact, this is the unique invariant complement, because in each degree d it is the eigenspace of the quadratic Casimir C with eigenvalue d (the largest possible eigenvalue on $\wedge^d \mathfrak{g}$; here the Casimir is normalized so that $C|_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1$). Thus the (direct) sum of $V_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is canonically identified with B as a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

The algebra A is obtained from $B \otimes B$ by taking a quotient by the additional relation $\{X, Y\} = 0$ and then taking invariants. In other words, $A = (B \otimes B)^{\mathfrak{g}}/L$, where L is the space of invariants in the ideal $\tilde{L}$ in $B \otimes B$ given by the relation $\{X, Y\} = 0$.

Thus, let us look more carefully at the algebra $(B \otimes B)^{\mathfrak{g}}$. From the above we see that a basis of $(B \otimes B)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by the elements $z_{\mathfrak{a}}$, the canonical elements in $V_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes V_{\mathfrak{a}}^*$. The element $z_{\mathfrak{a}}$ sits in bidegree (d, d) , where d is the dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$. This implies Proposition 1.2 (the $sl(2)$ action on $A^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is trivial because the $sl(2)$ -weight of a vector in A of degree (d_1, d_2) is $d_1 - d_2$).

Now we proceed to prove the conjecture for G_2 . Let ω_1 and $\omega_2 = \theta$ be the fundamental weights of G_2 (θ is the highest root), and α_1, α_2 the corresponding simple roots (so that $\theta = 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$). The abelian ideals in the corresponding Borel subalgebra are $\mathfrak{a}_0 = 0$, $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathbb{C}e_{\theta}$, $\mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathbb{C}e_{\theta} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$, $\mathfrak{a}_3 = \mathbb{C}e_{\theta} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$. Thus the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module B has 4 irreducible components: $V_0 = \mathbb{C}$ in degree 0, $V_1 = \mathfrak{g}$ in degree 1, $V_2 = V(3\omega_1)$ in degree 2, and $V_3 = V(\omega_1 + 2\omega_2)$ in degree 3.

Let S be the invariant element of degree (1,1) in $\wedge \mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{g}$. Clearly the projection of powers of S onto $B \otimes B$ is nonzero in degree 0, 1, 2, 3 (this follows from the fact that for each degree d one has a canonical decomposition $\wedge^d \mathfrak{g} = V_{\mathfrak{a}_d} \oplus \text{Ker}(\wedge^d \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow B[d])$, where $B[d]$ is the degree d component of B).

The dual Coxeter number of G_2 is 4. Thus, our job is just to show that the ideal generated by the relation $\{X, Y\} = 0$ in $B \otimes B$ contains no nonzero $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants.

A $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant of degree $(d+1, d+1)$ in this ideal is a linear combination of elements of the form $C_w := \sum f_{ijk}(x_i \otimes x_j)w(x_k)$, where x_i is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, f_{ijk} are the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$ in this basis, and $w : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_{\mathfrak{a}}) = V_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes V_{\mathfrak{a}}^*$ is a homomorphism of representations (here for brevity $\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{a}_d$). It is easy to check

that the only homomorphisms w relevant to our situation (i.e., for $d = 1, 2$) are given by the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $V_{\mathfrak{a}}$.¹ So the result follows from the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *If w is the action map then C_w is zero in $B \otimes B$.*

Proof. Let us regard C_w as an operator on $\wedge^{d+1}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then it has the form

$$C_w = \sum f_{ijk} W_{x_i} L_{x_k} I_{x_j},$$

where I, L, W are the operators of contraction, Lie derivative, and wedging, respectively. This can be more shortly written as

$$C_w = \sum W_{x_i} L_{[x_i, x_j]} I_{x_j}.$$

We claim that if $v \in V_{\mathfrak{a}}$ then $C_w v$ is zero (this would imply that C_w is zero in $B \otimes B$). Set $y = p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_{d+1}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_w y &= \sum_{i,j,r,s} (-1)^{r+s} x_i \wedge ([x_i, p_r], p_s] - [x_i, p_s], p_r]) \wedge p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{p}_r \dots \wedge \hat{p}_s \dots \wedge p_{d+1} = \\ &= \sum_{i,j,r,s} (-1)^{r+s} x_i \wedge [[p_s, p_r] x_i] \wedge p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{p}_r \dots \wedge \hat{p}_s \dots \wedge p_{d+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the result, since $V_{\mathfrak{a}}$ coincides with the kernel of the map $\wedge^{d+1} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge^{d-1} \mathfrak{g}$, given by

$$y \mapsto \sum_{r,s} (-1)^{r+s} [p_s, p_r] \otimes p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{p}_r \dots \wedge \hat{p}_s \dots \wedge p_{d+1}.$$

□

References

[CDSV] Freddy Cachazo, Michael R. Douglas, Nathan Seiberg, Edward Witten, Chiral Rings and Anomalies in Supersymmetric Gauge Theory, hep-th/0211170.

[K] Bertram Kostant, On $\wedge \mathfrak{g}$ for a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, as an equivariant module over the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$. Analysis on homogeneous spaces and representation theory of Lie groups, Okayama–Kyoto (1997), 129–144, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 26, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2000.

[W] Edward Witten, Chiral Ring Of $\mathrm{Sp}(N)$ And $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ Supersymmetric Gauge Theory In Four Dimensions hep-th/0302194.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA

E-mail address: `etingof@math.mit.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA

E-mail address: `kac@math.mit.edu`

¹This has to do with the fact that the highest weight of V_2 does not involve ω_2 . The situation is exactly the same for type B_2 , except that it is not true there that all w come from the action of $\mathfrak{g}$; this results in $S^3 = 0$ for B_2 , while $S^3 \neq 0$ for G_2 .